UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,330	02/17/2004	Gilbert Wolrich	10559-127002/P7866C	1102
59796 INTEL CORPO	7590 06/17/200 DRATION	EXAMINER		
c/o CPA Global	l	THAMMAVONG, PRASITH		
P.O. BOX 5205 MINNEAPOLI	=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2187	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/780,330	WOLRICH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PRASITH THAMMAVONG	2187	

		110101111111111111111111111111111111111	2107
The MAILIN	NG DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>01</u>	June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
application, applic application in con- for Continued Exa periods:	d after a final rejection, but prior to or on cant must timely file one of the following radition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for	reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
no event, howe Examiner Note	reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ever, will the statutory period for reply expire la e: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be have been filed is the date under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is caset forth in (b) above, if ch	THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fee obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the purposes of determining the period of extending a fee from: (1) the expiration date of the specked. Any reply received by the Office later attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	peal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of	f Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) ☐ They raise ı	mendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be new issues that would require further cor the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c) They are no appeal; and	ot deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
• • • • • • • •	(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
	s are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	has overcome the following rejection(s):		,
non-allowable cla		·	
how the new or an The status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected Claim(s) rejected:	I to:		I be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER			
because applican	ther evidence filed after a final action, but nt failed to provide a showing of good and resented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entered because	ther evidence filed after the date of filing the affidavit or other evidence failed to or and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	other evidence is entered. An explanation ONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attache 13. ☐ Other:	ed Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Christian P. Chace Supervisory Patent I	e/ Examiner, Art Unit 2187		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner contends that the Tremblay reference teaches that limitation of "the logic to provide data access to a resource within a first of the multiple programmable units to a second one of the multiple programmable units in response to a data access request of the second one of the multiple programmable units specifying an address within the single address space". Column 4, lines 7-29 of the Tremblay reference teaches that the use of the instruction cache to allow the processors to access data within the registers of the processors when accessing a cached instruction, thus anticipating the applicant's limitation stated above. Column 2, lines 24-48 also teaches the use of the instruction cache to cache previously called library calls that were executed by the p1 processor such that if p2 processor needs this cached library call, p2 processor can use this cached library call instead of retrieving the call from main memory and thus improving performance. Thus, the Examiner contends that the Tremblay reference teaches the limitation above as instantly and broadly claimed.